



:: course evaluations . . .

University of Utah
 Course and Instructor Evaluation Report
 Spring 2005

College/School: College of Engineering **Print Date:** 06-Jun-05
Instructor: RING,TERRY ARTHUR;
Subject: Chemical and Fuels **Course #:** 5655 - 001 **Enrollment:** 2
 Engg
Course: Silicon-Chip Processing **Evaluations processed:** 2

UU Standard Demographic Items

1. Class Standing:	N	High School	Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Graduate	
	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
2. Number of credit hours I am completing this semester:	N	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17+		
	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%		
3. Number of hours I work for pay each week:	N	I do not work	Fewer than 10	10 to 20	21 to 30	31 to 39	40+	
	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	
4. Approximate number of hours per week I spent studying/preparing for this class:	N	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	more than 10 hours
	2	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5. Percentage of course meetings (class, lab) I attended:	N	less than 50%	50% - 74%	75% - 90%	greater than 90%			
	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
6. My PRIMARY reason for taking this class:	N	major/minor requirement	general education/graduation requirement	professional certification	major/minor elective	general elective	personal interest/other	
	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	
7. My Cumulative GPA:	N	0 - 1.99	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	3.0 - 3.49	3.5 - 4.0		
	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%		
8. My expected course grade:	N	A	B	C	D	E		

	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
UU Standard Course Items	N	SD	D	MD	MA	A	SA	Avg	S.Avg
1. The course objectives were clearly stated.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	5.50	5.14
2. The course objectives were met.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00	5.08
3. The course content was well organized.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00	5.05
4. The course materials were helpful in meeting course objectives.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	5.50	5.03
5. Assignments and exams reflected what was covered in the course.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	5.00	5.16
6. I learned a great deal in this course.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	5.00	5.01
7. Overall, this was an effective course.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	5.50	5.03
Composite score: 5.50 Subject composite score: 5.07									

	N	SD	D	MD	MA	A	SA	Avg	S.Avg
UU Standard Instructor Items Ring,Terry Arthur	N	SD	D	MD	MA	A	SA	Avg	S.Avg
1. The instructor was organized.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	5.50	5.12
2. The instructor demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00	5.37
3. The instructor presented course content effectively.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00	5.05
4. The instructor created/supported a classroom environment that was respectful.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00	5.32
5. As appropriate, the instructor encouraged questions and opinions.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00	5.32
6. The instructor was available for consultation with students.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	5.50	5.24
7. Overall, this was an effective instructor.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00	5.19
Composite score: 5.86 Subject composite score: 5.23									

	N	SD	D	MD	MA	A	SA	Avg
College of Eng ABET Form	N	SD	D	MD	MA	A	SA	Avg
1. ABET Questions: This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to: Design and conduct experiments.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.00
2. ABET Questions: This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to: Analyze and interpret data.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	4.50
3. ABET Questions: This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to: Design a system, component or process to meet a desired need.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	4.50
4. ABET Questions: This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to: Function on multi-disciplinary or cross-functional teams.	2	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.50
5. ABET Questions: This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to: Identify, formulate and solve engineering problems.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	5.00
6. ABET Questions: This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to: Recognize professional and ethical responsibility.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	4.50
7. ABET Questions: This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to: Communicate orally: Informal and prepared talks.	2	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	4.00
8. ABET Questions: This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to: Communicate in writing: letters, technical reports, etc.	2	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	4.50
9. ABET Questions: This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to: Know the impact of engineering in a global/societal context.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	5.50

	N	SD	D	MD	MA	A	SA	Avg
ME EN - A Ring,Terry Arthur	N	SD	D	MD	MA	A	SA	Avg
1. The instructor combined theory and practical application.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00
2. The instructor's use of examples helped to get points across in class.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00
3. The instructor returned assignments quickly enough to benefit me.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00
4. The instructor dealt fairly and impartially with students.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00
5. The TA was available during office hours.	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00
6. The TA thoroughly understands the assignments.	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00
Composite score: 6.00								

	N	SD	D	MD	MA	A	SA	Avg
ME EN - A	N	SD	D	MD	MA	A	SA	Avg
1. This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to apply knowledge of: Mathematics (calculus and above).	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	4.50
2. This course helped me gain an understanding of and ability to apply knowledge of: Physical Sciences.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6.00

3. The course gave me skills that will be directly applicable to my career.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	5.00
4. My laboratory/discussion work was beneficial in terms of the goals of this course.	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.00
5. The lab had adequate facilities.	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	5.00
6. The assignments were of definite instructional value.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	5.50
7. I developed the ability to solve real problems in this field.	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	5.00
Composite score: 5.00								

N = number of responses

SD = Strongly Disagree (response value 1)

D = Disagree (response value 2)

MD = Mildly Disagree (response value 3)

MA = Mildly Agree (response value 4)

A = Agree (response value 5)

SA = Strongly Agree (response value 6)

S.Avg = Subject-wide Average for this question

[Get Written Comments Report](#)

DISCLAIMER: Department composite scores are current as of the date of this report, but may be revised if additional evaluations are processed.